SHORT COMMUNICATION

ADANSONIA IS A BAOBAB TREE, NOT A THERIDIID SPIDER

Ingi Agnarsson: Department of Biological Sciences, George Washington University, Washington, D.C. 20052 USA.

ABSTRACT. The name *Adansonia* Saville-Kent was erroneously introduced into spider taxonomy by Bonnet in 1939 and still appears in the literature. Saville-Kent was referring to a tree, not describing a spider.

Keywords: Theridiidae, Araneae, Adansonia, nomenclature, systematics

Most biologists are familiar with the genus Adansonia Linnaeus 1753, which contains the magnificent Baobab trees. The Baobabs are particularly prolific in Madagascar but also widely distributed in continental Africa, and one species is native to northwestern Australia. These trees are also popular in botanical gardens and parks in other parts of the world. Less well known is the mollusk Adansonia Pallary 1902. Pallary validly proposed this name as a subgenus of Donovania Bucquoy Dautzenberg & Dollfus, which in turn is now considered a junior synonym of the buccinid snail Chauvetia Monterosato. Very few are aware of yet another use of the name Adansonia, but Adansonia Saville-Kent 1897 is currently listed as a generic name of the spider family Theridiidae (Platnick 1997). The latest use of the name is an error that can be traced back to a cataloging mistake by Bonnet (1939). This note is written to clarify the situation and prevent further inclusion of the name Adansonia Saville-Kent in spider taxonomy.

The acclaimed author of the name is William Saville-Kent (1845–1908), whose works include "The Great Barrier Reef of Australia" (Saville-Kent 1893) and "The Naturalist in Australia" (Saville-Kent 1897). In the latter he was discussing a theridiid spider:

"A remaining spider form included in Chromo-Plate IX. invites brief notice. It is represented by Figs. 12 to 15 [these show the details of the egg cocoon and the general habitus of the spider]. This type is apparently referable to the genus *Theridium*, and is remarkable more especially with relation to its habits and the singular environments of its egg cocoon. It

was observed by the writer in the neighbourhood of Derby, at the head of King's Sound, Western Australia, taking up its abode in the fissures of the gnarled trunks of the older Baobab or Bottle-trees, Adansonia rupestris. The spider, a small brown one, presents no special features of interest, and neither does the web, which is of the irregularly meshed order. Suspended in the snare, however, there is generally present a little cupola-shaped mass, which, on near examination, is found to be composed superficially of the emptied skins and disjointed limbs of a small species of black ant upon which this spider habitually feeds. The interior of this ant aggregation is hollow, and is found to contain in its upper confines the spherical silken egg cocoon of its fabricator, which it has most effectively and ingeniously concealed from view" (Saville-Kent 1897:261).

It is clear from Saville-Kent's text that he did not intend to describe a new species, and thus gives the spider no name, he is simply sharing some interesting observations with the reader. Bonnet (1939), however, mistakenly connected Saville-Kent's description of the spider to the Latin name of the Baobab and listed Adansonia Saville-Kent, as a new genus and Adansonia rupestris Saville-Kent as a new species (which he designated as the type species, by monotypy), in the family Theridiidae (Bonnet 1939:158)! Bonnet's error does not appear in Levi & Levi's (1962) exhaustive work on therediid genera, nor in the catalogs of Roewer (1942), Brignoli (1983) or Platnick (1988), but Adansonia is listed as a theridiid genus in the two most recent spider taxonomy catalogs under the heading of "No Entries" (Platnick 1993:180; 1997:248). Thus no one has used this name since Bonnet's error.

The argument might be made that Bonnet's error can be considered as providing availability to Adansonia rupestris Saville-Kent. To be available, every new name published after 1930 must be accompanied by a bibliographic reference to a description that states in words, characters that are purported to differentiate the taxon (International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature, 1999: Art. 13.1.1 & 13.1.2). Thus Bonnet would make Adansonia rupestris available because he provides a reference to a description. However, Saville-Kent does not provide a description of the spider, "The spider ... presents no special features of interest and neither does the web" (Saville-Kent 1897:261) but rather of the egg cocoon. The egg cocoon is the work of an animal and is clearly excluded from zoological nomenclature (International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature, Art. 13.6.2). Therefore, it is clear that by the publication of Adansonia in Bonnet's (1939) catalog Bonnet was not making a new name available; he was merely cataloging what he thought was Saville-Kent's new name (Adansonia rupestris). But as there is no new Saville-Kent name, Bonnet does not accidentally validate a name. It is furthermore clear that Adansonia was in use for a mollusk genus (Pallary 1902) at the time of Bonnet's publication and is therefore unavailable regardless of other things due to the principle of homonymy (International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature, 1999: Art. 52.1, 52.2 & 52.3). Adansonia Saville-Kent is thus a nomen nudum and Adansonia rupestris is a tree.

After the removal of *Adansonia* there are currently 73 valid genera in the family Theridiidae (Platnick 1997; Tanikawa 1998).

I would like to thank Mark Harvey for making a copy of Saville-Kent's paper available to me and with his help in locating Bonnet's error. Chris Thompson provided valuable help on nomenclatural issues. Gustavo Hormiga, Mark Harvey, Chris Thompson, Jonathan A. Coddington, Petra Sierwald, Matjaz Kuntner and Jeremy Miller provided comments on the manuscript. Support for this research was provided by a National Science Foundation grant to Gustavo Hormiga and Jonathan Coddington (DOEB 9712353) and the USIA Fulbright Program.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bonnet, P. 1939. Bibliographia Araneorum. Tome II. Faculty Des Sciences, Toulouse, France. 918 pp.
- Brignoli, P.M. 1983. A Catalogue of the Araneae Described Between 1940 and 1981. Manchester Univ. Press. Manchester. 755 pp.
- International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature. 1999. International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (4_{th} ed.). International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London. 306 pp.
- Levi, H.W. & L.R. Levi. 1962. The genera of the spider family Theridiidae. Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology 127(1):1–71.
- Linnaeus, C. 1753. Species Plantarum. Stockholm. 1200 pp.
- Pallary, P. 1902. Liste des mollusques testacés de la Baie de Tanger. Journal de Conchyliologie 50(1):13.
- Platnick, N.I. 1988. Advances in Spider Taxonomy 1981–87. Manchester Univ. Press. New York. 673 pp.
- Platnick, N.I. 1993. Advances in Spider Taxonomy 1988–91. New York Entomological Society. New York. 846 pp.
- Platnick, N.I. 1997. Advances in Spider Taxonomy 1992–1995. New York Entomological Society. New York. 976 pp.
- Roewer, C. Fr. 1942. Katalog der Araneae von 1758 bis 1940, 1. Band. Kommissions-Verlag von Natura, Bremen. 1040 pp.
- Saville-Kent, W. 1893. The Great Barrier Reef of Australia; Its Products and Potentialities. W.H. Allen, London. 387 pp.
- Saville-Kent, W. 1897. The Naturalist in Australia. Chapman & Hall, Limited. London. 421 pp.
- Tanikawa, A. 1998. The new synonymy of the spider genus Argyrodes (Araneae: Theridiidae) and a description of a new species from Japan. Acta Arachnologica 47(1):21–26.
- Manuscript received 23 October 1999, revised 16 April 2000.